Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 27, 1999.

#### Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–25626 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-815]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Sulfanilic Acid From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Ocotber 1, 1999.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping order on sulfanilic acid from the People's Republic of China, covering the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sean Carey or Dana Mermelstein, AD/ CVD Enforcement Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3964 or (202) 482–3208, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 120 days after the date on which the notice of preliminary results was published in the Federal Register. In the instant case, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa (September 22, 1999). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department

is extending the time limit for the final results to no later than March 6, 2000, which is 180 days after the publication date in the **Federal Register** of the notice of preliminary results for this review. The preliminary results were published in the **Federal Register** on September 8, 1999. (64 FR 48788).

Dated: September 22, 1999.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 99–25488 Filed 9–30–99; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

[A-588-054, A-588-604]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan, and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Intent to Revoke in-Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests by the petitioner and one respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings (TRBs) and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan (A-588-604), and of the antidumping finding on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (A-588-054). The review of the A-588-054 finding covers two manufacturers/exporters and one reseller/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period October 1, 1997, through September 30, 1998. The review of the A-588-604 order covers three manufacturers/exporters and the period October 1, 1997, through September 30,

We preliminarily determine that sales of TRBs have been made below the normal value (NV) for all respondents except Fuji. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties based on the difference between United States price

and the NV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issues and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Ranado (NSK), Stephanie Arthur (Koyo), Deborah Scott (NTN or Fuji), or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3518, (202) 482– 6312, or (202) 482–2657, respectively.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On August 18, 1976, the Treasury Department published in the **Federal Register** (41 FR 34974) the antidumping finding on TRBs from Japan, and on October 6, 1987, the Department published the antidumping duty order on TRBs from Japan (52 FR 37352). On October 9, 1998, the Department published the notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" for both TRB cases covering the period October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1998 (63 FR 54440).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213 (b)(1), the petitioner, the Timken Company (Timken), requested that we conduct a review of Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd. (Koyo) and NSK Ltd. (NSK) in both the A-588-054 and A-588-604 cases. Timken also requested that we conduct a review of NTN Corporation (NTN) in the A-588-604 TRB case. In addition, Fuji Heavy Industries (Fuji) requested that the Department conduct a review in the A-588-054 case, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(e) requested that this finding be revoked with respect to Fuji. On November 30, 1998, we published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of these antidumping duty administrative reviews covering the period October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1998 (63 FR 65748).